	ed States Patent a	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,152	10/05/2004	Kia Silverbrook	YU175NPUS	6673
24011 7590 01/10/2008 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			EXAMINER	
			AL HASHIMI, SARAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

, 1	i	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•			
	Office Action Summers	10/510,152	SILVERBROOK, KIA
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>		Sarah Al-Hashimi	2853
Period fo			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta eply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO hute cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	October 2007.	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	nt.
Applicat	on Papers		
·—	The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \Box a		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bur		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachmer		.	Summer (DTO 412)
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· — — …	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date
3) 🗌 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application
		·	

Application/Control Number: 10/510,152 Art Unit: 2853

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/25/2007 have been considered. However, upon

further review, an Election/Restriction is deemed appropriate.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: Fig 1

Species 2: Fig 2

Species 3 Fig 3

Species 4: Fig 4

Species 5: Fig 5

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims Application/Control Number: 10/510,152 Art Unit: 2853 Page 3

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

 The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each species includes features that pertain to different embodiments and there is no common technical feature in the embodiments not already known in the prior art.
Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Al-Hashimi whose telephone number is 571 272 7159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,152 Art Unit: 2853

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571 272 2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SA/

AN H. DO PRIMARY EXAMINER

8002/70/2008